

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/621,388 07/18/2003 Satoshi Okamoto Q76473 2458 23373 09/27/2005 EXAMINER SUGHRUE MION, PLLC JACKSON, MONIQUE R 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 1773

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\overline{C}
		Application No.	Applic	cant(s)
		10/621,388	OKAM	IOTO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Un	nit
		Monique R. Jack	son 1773	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspo	ondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply ve reply received by the Office later than three months af- bed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE OF THIS CO if 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how inication. utory period will apply and will expire vill, by statute, cause the application t	DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing become ABANDONED (35 U.S	g date of this communication. S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)[[Responsive to communication(s) filed	t on 19 July 2005		
•	·	b)☐ This action is non-fin	al.	
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers			
-	The specification is objected to by the			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objec			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if th	e drawing(s) is objected to	o. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	•	by the Examiner. Note the	, attached Office Action	0.10.111110102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-41 Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:	<u>_</u> :

Application/Control Number: 10/621,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 7/19/05 has been entered. Claims 1-14 are pending in the application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,797,345 for the reasons recited in the prior office action. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize any combination of the claimed structural units for the aromatic liquid-crystalline polyester, which read upon the instantly claimed structural units, wherein one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize routine experimentation to determine the optimum amount of each structural unit to provide the desired heat resistance, liquid crystallinity and mechanical properties for a particular end use wherein it is well established in the art that the amount of each structural unit is a result-effective variable affecting these properties of the resulting liquid-crystalline polyester.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 7/19/05, with respect to the rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections as recited in paragraphs 3-7 of the prior office action have been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to the double patenting rejection have been fully

considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the instant claims provide unexpected results over the claimed invention of USPN 6,797,345 referring to Comparative Example 1 which does not include structural unit III. However, the claims of USPN 6,797,345 do include a structural unit derived from naphthalene dicarboxylic acid which is the instantly claimed structural unit III and hence Comparative Example 1 is not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention of USPN 6,797,345 and fails provide a showing of unexpected results to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Page 3

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

September 19, 2005